

Guided template for the DFF list of publications (track record)

Instructions

The completed DFF track record must not exceed 3 A4 pages in total. Use Times New Roman, font size 12, with 1.5 line spacing, and at least 2 cm margins. Remove or amend all instructional text (highlighted in grey) prior to submission. Do not delete categories. Please note: The track record template is mandatory for PIs (and co-PIs, if a co-PI is required for the call). If you as PI or co-PI submit a track record in another format, or a track record which exceeds 3 pages, your application can be rejected by DFF.

Track record

Family name, First name(s)

A) Selected outputs

[List up to five major, relevant outputs or contributions from any time during your career, in descending order starting with the most recent. For each output, include a brief factual explanation (max 3 lines) of its significance, and your role in it. For example, you can include: articles and other scientific publications (including pre-prints and other non-peer-reviewed publications); methodologies, methods, tools or products development; dataset creation or curation, data or software development; creative outputs, exhibitions; workflows; intellectual property; strategies/policy contributions; patents etc.]

[If there are specific publishing traditions or particular ways of indicating authorship within your field that might be relevant to know for researchers who are not experts in your discipline, you can include a brief factual explanation. Can be omitted.]

B) Summary statistics

[List your total number of scientific publications during your career, grouped into relevant categories. Only list publications that are published or accepted for publication. Group your number of publications into categories such as:

- number of journal articles (first-author and last-author, if relevant in your field)
- other categories such as books/monographs; open access publications, etc.
- total number of citations (cite source, e.g., Google Scholar). You must not include any other bibliometrics, e.g., H-index or Journal Impact Factor.]

C) List of publications/research outputs from the past 10 years

[List your academic publications/research outputs from the past 10 years, in descending order, starting with the most recent. Only list publications that are published or accepted for publication. If necessary due to space limitations (max 3 A4 pages), the list must be selective, but please indicate this.]

Your name must be in bold font in the list of publications. The order of authors must be indicated exactly as listed in the original publication, for example, the order of authorships must not be revised. Likewise, all co-authors should be mentioned to the extent possible. If space does not permit listing all authors, indicate the total number of authors of the article.]

C) List of publications/research outputs from the past 10 years

Provide a detailed list of publications. If the list is selective (as will be the case for many applicants), it should include the works that best represent the applicant's scientific contributions over a ten-year period. Alternatively, applicants may include a complete list of publications for the years that can be accommodated within the page limit.

Purpose for assessors: To allow assessors to evaluate the applicant's scientific contributions, including scope, focus, and frequency of publications, in a way that is representative of the applicant's work.

A) Selected outputs

The purpose of this category is to allow applicants to highlight outputs that are particularly relevant to their application and/or career, including outputs that may not be part of a traditional publication list.

There is no fixed definition of what constitutes a relevant output. Applicants are encouraged to include any outputs they consider relevant to their application.

Each application is assigned to scientifically relevant assessors from the fund's councils or committees, who carry out an initial pre-assessment. Final evaluations and funding decisions are made collectively by all members of the relevant research council or committee at a grant meeting. As publishing practices vary across disciplines, applicants have the possibility to include a brief description of disciplinary conventions to assist assessors who are not specialists in the field.

Purpose for assessors: To gain insight into the applicant's key contributions and their significance, across diverse forms of research outputs and activities, and how these demonstrate expertise and impact.

B) Summary statistics

Provide a numerical overview of your scientific publications. Applicants should group publications according to categories that are standard and meaningful within their discipline.

The fund also requests information on the applicant's number of citations to give assessors an indication of the impact of their publications, and to ensure that all applicants provide the same information. The fund is aware that citations come with a range of limitations. They are not a direct reflection of the contribution of a researcher, or the quality of a researcher's contribution. Citation counts provide only a partial indication of research impact and should be interpreted in context. Their significance also varies between disciplines, and the indexing varies across different types of publications, including open access outputs. Applications will therefore always be assessed holistically, with due consideration given to disciplinary differences etc.

Purpose for assessors: To provide an overview of the applicant's publication record and research impact, while recognising differences between disciplines and publication practices.